

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JANE DOE,

No. C 07-05596 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
CERTIFICATION OF
INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL**

10 v.

11 CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al.

12 Defendants.
13 _____/

14 On September 15, 2010, the Court denied plaintiff's motion to compel defendant City of San
15 Mateo to provide a response to plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 6. Doc. 362. On September 24, 2010,
16 plaintiff filed a Notice of Interlocutory Appeal of that order, and also filed a letter requesting that the
17 Court certify its September 15 Order for interlocutory appeal. Plaintiff has provided no reason for the
18 Court to grant her request to certify, nor does the Court see any. *See Couch v. Telescope Inc.*, 611 F.3d
19 629, 633 (9th Cir. 2010) (the party pursuing the interlocutory appeal bears the burden of demonstrating
20 that the certification requirements have been met). Plaintiff's request is DENIED. (Doc. 365.)
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: October 27, 2010



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge